

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

TOMMY DODSON,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
V.)	Civil No. 05-4068-GPM
)	
LOWE’S HOME CENTER, INC., et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

PROUD, Magistrate Judge:

Before the Court is plaintiff’s¹ motion to compel defendants General Electric Company and Lowe’s Home Centers to fully respond to plaintiff’s interrogatories and requests for production of documents. **(Doc. 48)**. Plaintiff has not specifically enumerated which discovery requests are at issue; rather, plaintiff has submitted 80 pages of documentation the Court is presumably supposed to wade through in search of disputed requests. “Judges are not like pigs, hunting for truffles buried in briefs.” *U.S. v. Dunkel*, 927 F.2d 955, 956 (7th Cir. 1991). Therefore, plaintiff’s motion to compel **(Doc. 48)** is **STRICKEN**. Plaintiff is free to file another motion specifying precisely which discovery requests are at issue, e.g., “Plaintiff’s Interrogatories propounded 12/15/05, Nos. 3-5 and 8.”

With that said, plaintiff *correctly* observes that defendants’ effort to secure a protective order in this case was unsuccessful and, therefore, the continued withholding of responsive information and documents would be inappropriate. Defendants have not moved anew for a

¹The Court notes that the complaint is brought solely by Tommy Dodson; Iuka Mutual Insurance Company, although a subrogee of Dodson, is not formally a party.

protective order, despite the Court's invitation to do so. Therefore, defendants would be well advised to review their discovery responses to ensure they are complete and up to date so that the discovery process is not impeded or delayed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 20, 2006

s/ Clifford J. Proud
CLIFFORD J. PROUD
U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE